



# .

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/640,710  | 08/18/2000     | Blair Lewis Stringam | REC-6201                | 6471             |
| 7:  | 590 09/26/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| Neil L Mark Esq U S Department of Interior 1849 C Street NW |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |                |                      | MARTIR, LILYBETT        |                  |
| Washington, D   | C 20240        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 2855                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Office Action Summary  Office Action Summary  Examiner Lilybett Martir  Applicant(s)  STRINGAM ET AL.  2855  |    |  |  |  |
|--|----|--|--|--|
| Coffice Action Summary  Examiner Lilybett Martir  2855   |    |  |  |  |
| Lilybett Martir 2855   |    |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |    |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |    |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2003</u> .   |    |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |    |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |    |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>   |    |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are pending in the application.   |    |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |    |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |    |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.   |    |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |    |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |    |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |    |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |    |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |    |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |    |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |    |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |    |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |    |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |    |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |    |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |    |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |    |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |    |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |    |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application   | ). |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>   |    |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |    |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:   |    |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/640,710

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Combs et al. (Pat. 4,221,004) in view of Wissenbach et al. (Pat. 5,633,809).
  - With respect to claim 13, Combs et al. teaches a sensor for determining as in element 20, using a measurement structure in the open channel as in element 12 and for producing a corresponding output signal; a central processing unit for receiving said output signal from said sensor, for calculating total water flow in the channel based on said output signal and for producing a total flow signal based on the calculated total water flow (Col. 4-5, lines 51-5), and a downwardly depending member which receives said sensor 20 as noted in Figure 1. Combs et al. fails to teach a display device for receiving flow signals from a central processing unit; and a single housing for housing said sensor, said central processing unit, and said display device. Wissenbach et al. teaches a flow monitoring apparatus that has a display device that is a liquid crystal display (Col. 6, lines 52-53) as in element 4 for receiving flow signals and displaying them, a single housing as in element 1 for housing a plurality

of elements such as the microprocessor and the display device (Col. 6, lines 44-47), and a depending member that receives a sensor such as element 41 as noted in Figure 3. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the measurement device of Combs et al. using the teachings of the flow monitoring apparatus of Wissenbach et al. by providing said measurement device with a housing, a liquid crystal display, and a downwardly depending member for receiving the sensor, for the purpose of providing means to protect said measuring device form environmental factors that may affect it's accuracy by damaging it's components, for providing means necessary to display the data related to the flow measurements produced by said device to a user, and for providing means to position said sensor in a fixed downwardly manner by merely re-arranging the position of the location of the sensor in a way that would allow the user to direct the ultrasonic signals produced by it in a preferred manner therefore improving the versatility and the reliability of said device.

- With respect to claim 14, Combs et al. teaches an ultrasonic sensor as in element 20 and said measurement structure comprising a weir or flume as disclosed on Col. 4, lines 4, lines 34-35, as in claim 2.
- With respect to claim 15, Combs et al. fails to teach the utilization of
   liquid crystal display device. Wissenbach et al. teaches a flow monitoring

Art Unit: 2855

apparatus that has a display device that is a liquid crystal display (Col. 6, lines 52-53) as in element 4. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the measurement device of Combs et al. using the teachings of the flow monitoring apparatus of Wissenbach et al. by providing said measurement device with a liquid crystal display for the purpose of providing means necessary to display the data related to the flow measurements produced by said device to a user in a reliable and clearly readable manner.

Regarding claims 16-18, said claims deal with the way in which data is manipulated and calculations are made and exist as an essential constituent of the claimed invention, and also said limitations have no inventive significance when any calculating means is capable of performing such manipulations and an element having the capabilities to calculate the volume of liquid flowing in the channel is taught in the teachings of Combs et al. (Col. 4-5, lines 51-5). Said claims, also recite mere arithmetical manipulations, which are commonly known in the art, and don't represent any structural difference from what is already known and taught by Combs et al. and Wissenbach et al.

Art Unit: 2855

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the original claims 1-12 have been considered but are not found persuasive. Applicant's arguments have been fully addressed in the above-presented rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (703)305-6900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703)305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Lilybett Martir Examiner Art Unit 2855

ROM

EDWARD LEFKONTZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800